

HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

WILD FISH CONSERVANCY,

Plaintiff,

v.

COOKE AQUACULTURE PACIFIC, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01708-JCC

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DETERMINE
INSUFFICIENT DEFENDANT'S
ANSWERS TO REQUESTS FOR
ADMISSION

BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiff's Motion to Determine Insufficient Defendant's
Answers to Requests for Admission.

Plaintiff argues that Defendant's answers to the following requests for admission, in
which Defendant asserts a lack of sufficient information to admit or deny the requests, do not
comply with Rule 36: Request for Admission Nos. 34–38, 108–10, 145, 147, 183–84, 259–60,
and 296–97. Plaintiff further argues that Defendant's answers to the following requests for
admission, in which Defendant partially denies the requests, do not comply with Rule 36:
Request for Admission Nos. 111–12, 146, 148–49, 185–186, 219–22, 256–58, and 293–95.

1 The Court finds that the answers do not comply with Rule 36 and that motion should
2 therefore be GRANTED.

3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS ORDERED** that:

- 5
- 6 1. With respect to the following requests for admission that Defendant asserted a
7 lack of sufficient knowledge, Defendant is deemed to have admitted the matters:
8 Request for Admission Nos. 34–38, 108–10, 145, 147, 183–84, 259–60, and 296–
9 97;
- 10
- 11 2. With respect to the following requests for admission that Defendant partially
12 denied, Defendant is deemed to have admitted the matters that it did not
13 specifically deny: Request for Admission Nos. 111–12, 146, 148–49, 185–186,
14 219–22, 256–58, and 293–95.

15
16
17 **OR**

- 18
- 19 1. With respect to the following requests for admission that Defendant asserted a
20 lack of sufficient knowledge, Defendant shall provide amended answers that
21 admit the matters, specifically deny the matters, or assert lack of knowledge or
22 information and confirm that Defendant has made reasonable inquiry and that the
23 information it knows or can readily obtain is insufficient to enable it to admit or
24 deny: Request for Admission Nos. 34–38, 108–10, 145, 147, 183–84, 259–60, and
25 296–97;
- 26
27
28
29

4. Amended answers required by this Order shall be provided within fourteen (14) days entry of this Order;

Honorable John C. Coughenour
United States District Judge

By: s/ Brian A. Knutsen
 Brian A. Knutsen, WSBA No. 38806
 Attorney for Plaintiff